ABSTRACT

In order to improve the accuracy of short-term traffic flow prediction, a combined model composed of artificial neural network optimized by using Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Exponential Smoothing (ES) has been proposed. By using the metaheuristic optimal search ability of GA, the connection weight and threshold of the feedforward neural network trained by a backpropagation algorithm are optimized to avoid the feedforward neural network falling into local optimum, and the prediction model of Genetic Artificial Neural Network (GANN) is established. An ES prediction model is presented then. In order to take the advantages of the two models, the combined model is composed of a weighted average, while the weight of the combined model is determined according to the prediction mean square error of the single model. The road traffic flow data of Xuancheng, Anhui Province with an observation interval of 5 min are used for experimental verification. Additionally, the feedforward neural network model, GANN model, ES model and combined model are compared and analysed, respectively. The results show that the prediction accuracy of the optimized feedforward neural network is much higher than that before the optimization. The prediction accuracy of the combined model is higher than that of the two single models, which verifies the feasibility and effectiveness of the combined model.
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exhaust emissions and energy waste, save travel time and improve public safety, but provide theoretical support for the rapid development and application of ITS. Therefore, it has become a research hotspot in recent years.

So far, three types of models, linear models, non-linear models, and combined models have been applied in short-term traffic flow prediction. Linear models mainly include historical average model [15], linear regression model [16, 17], time series model [18, 19], and Kalman filter model [20]. In historical average model, the predicted value at the current moment is the average value of historical traffic flow at the same moment at a certain time interval. It is simple to calculate and only suitable for predicting the sections where traffic flow changes smoothly. The linear regression model builds the regression equation by analysing the relationship between independent variables and dependent variables, and then inputs the measured independent variable to get the predicted value. This model can be easily applied to predict the traffic flow of large-scale road networks, but it is difficult for the static regression parameters to reflect the non-linear changes of traffic flow. In time series model, historical data are arranged by time series, and the predicted value is inferred by analysing the law of change of these data over time. The time series models include autoregressive method, moving average method, autoregressive moving average method, Exponential Smoothing (ES) method, etc. Ahmed and Cook [21] firstly introduced ARIMA model for the traffic prediction area. Then, ARIMA model is widely used in highway service level prediction [22] and highway traffic flow prediction [23, 24]. Previous studies have shown that ARIMA model can reveal the static characteristics of time series, so it has high accuracy in long-term prediction, but there are limitations in short-term traffic flow prediction [25]. ES model weights time series data according to smoothing coefficients and obtains linear combinations related to input data as predicted values. The modelling of time series model is simple, and the accuracy of prediction is reliable when the obtained historical data are sufficient and the data continuity are high. However, when the external factors change significantly, the accuracy of the predicted value obtained by time series analysis will decrease accordingly. The Kalman filter method describes the traffic system through the state space model composed of observation equation, state equation and Kalman filter recursive algorithm. Okutani and Stephanedes [26] applied Kalman filter theory in dynamic short-term passenger flow prediction. Vythoulkas [27] applied Kalman filtering method to predict traffic flow in the driver information system; Zhou et al. [28] proposed a hybrid dual Kalman filter to predict short-term traffic flow. To sum up, linear model is simple in structure, weak in adaptability to various random disturbance factors, and poor in adaptability when applied to short-term prediction field, making it difficult to meet the accuracy requirements of traffic flow prediction.

Non-linear models include non-parametric regression [29], support vector machine, artificial neural network, etc. The non-parametric regression method employs the data at the next moment of the neighbouring point as the prediction value by searching for the nearest neighbours similar to the current data. Chang and Yoon [30] applied the nearest neighbour non-parametric regression method in short-term traffic flow prediction. This method requires sufficient historical data to predict more traffic flows with changing trends when there is enough data. The support vector machine method is studied according to the structural risk minimization principle. Hong [31] and Duan [32], respectively applied support vector machine models based on simulated annealing algorithm and particle swarm optimization to predict the traffic flow. Cheng et al. [33] combined the chaos theory with support vector regression model for the traffic flow prediction. Tang et al. [34] combined the data de-noising method and support vector machine for traffic flow prediction. This method requires high memory for the computer and has slower convergence speed. The artificial neural network obtains an input-output mapping relationship by training a sufficient amount of sample data, and then uses mapping to obtain a predicted value. Clark et al. [35] firstly applied artificial neural network in short-term traffic flow prediction. Subsequently, various neural networks are derived and widely used in traffic flow prediction, such as feedforward neural networks [36], dynamic Bayesian network [37], wavelet neural network [38], deep mixed neural network [39], recursive neural network [40], and long-term and short-term memory neural network [41]. Neural network can conduct self-learning, self-organization, and self-adaptation according to a large amount of data, so that it is highly suitable for random, dynamic, and non-linear traffic flow. However, there are still some
shortcomings in the neural network. For example, the learning rate of feedforward neural network trained by a traditional backpropagation algorithm is fixed, which makes the learning rate of neural network low or easy to miss the optimal solution. Feedforward neural network trained by a backpropagation algorithm relies too much on the noise of training data and is prone to over-fitting.

It can be seen from the discussion above that the single model has limitations. With the aim of fully integrating the different advantages of different models, the combination of two or more prediction models in a linear or non-linear way is called a combined model. Li et al. [42] combined ES model, ARIMA model, artificial neural network and fuzzy logic theory. Li et al. [43] combined ES method with the Markov chain; Moretti et al. [44] combined artificial neural network with linear statistical model. Hou et al. [25] combined ARIMA model with wavelet neural network. Most of the previous combined models are linear models and non-linear models. The research results show that appropriately combined models can combine the advantages of single models, overcome the limitations of single models, improve the prediction accuracy and obtain better prediction results to some extent.

According to previous research results, feedforward neural network can realize any non-linear mapping, and it has prominent advantages in short-term prediction, but it is prone to fall into local minimum value and slow learning. ES model is simple to model, but modelling parameters are generally determined by experience. In order to combine the non-linear fitting advantage of neural network with the linear fitting advantage of ES model, we propose a combined model of feedforward neural network optimized by the Genetic Algorithm (GA) and ES.

2. GA PREDICTION MODEL

The feedforward neural network has the function of realizing any complex non-linear mapping, and has strong self-learning and self-organization ability. However, the network structure cannot be easily determined, local minimum value is easy to form in the training process, and the learning speed is not high enough. To overcome the shortcomings mentioned above, this paper adopts GA to optimize the connection weights and thresholds of feedforward neural network, trains the feedforward neural network to obtain the optimal parameters, and then applies the neural network to traffic flow prediction.

2.1 Feedforward neural network

Feedforward neural network is a multi-layer perceptron network. Its topology includes the input layer, the hidden layer, and the output layer. The input information from the input layer will be transmitted to each neuron in the hidden layer, and the hidden layer will transform the information with excitation function and threshold value, and then pass the weight function to the output layer, where the information will be processed again and then output. If the output error is larger than the set value, the output error will modify the weight of the network layer by layer, until the error is smaller than the set value or the learning times reach the upper limit. The typical three-layer feedforward neural network structure is shown in Figure 1. Previous studies have shown that three-layer neural network can realize arbitrarily complex non-linear mapping problems [45]. For the prediction of time series, the choice of the number of input nodes and the number of hidden layer nodes is mainly determined by experience. In this paper, the number of input nodes is determined by calculating the prediction error of feedforward neural network with different input nodes. Then, the range of hidden layer nodes is determined according to Equation 1 [46], and the optimal number of hidden layer nodes is selected according to the prediction results, where $m$ is the number of hidden layer nodes, and $n$ is the number of input layer nodes, and $l$ is the number of output layer nodes.

$$m < \sqrt{n+l} + a, \quad a \in [1,10]$$

In Figure 1, $x_i (i=1,2,...,n)$ represents the input value of the first $i$ neuron node in the input layer; $w_{ij} (j=1,2,...,m)$ and $w_{ik} (k=1,2,...,l)$ represent the weight between node $i$ of the input layer and node $j$ of the hidden layer and the weight between node $j$ of the hidden layer and node $k$ of the output layer.

![Figure 1 – Structure diagram of feedforward neural networks](image)
respectively; \( \theta_k \) denotes the threshold value of the hidden layer; \( \delta_k \) denotes the output layer threshold; \( y^k \) is the output of the first \( k \) node in the output layer.

### 2.2 Integration artificial neural network with GA

GA is a randomized search method combining natural selection mechanism in the biological field with the Genetic theory. It combines the random exchange of metaheuristic divisible information in the population to generate new individual and the rule of survival of the fittest to achieve global optimization. GA selects the initial hypothesis solution to generate the initial population, and encodes the initial population as chromosome for selection, crossover and mutation, so as to generate new individuals. The individuals are evaluated by calculating individual fitness, and the individuals with high adaptability are selected for iteration, so as to continuously obtain better population and achieve the optimal solution [47].

When the feedforward neural network is initialized, the connection weights and thresholds of each layer are randomly valued between \([-0.5,0.5]\). This kind of random value method may slow down the learning speed of the neural network and get into the local optimal solution. Therefore, the optimization target of GA is set as the prediction error of the feedforward neural network. Based on the feedforward neural network determined in Section 2.1, GA is applied to optimize the selection process of weights and thresholds of the feedforward neural network, so as to obtain the optimal mapping mode and improve the prediction accuracy. The specific implementation steps are as follows:

**Step 1:** *Initialize parameters.* Normalize the sample data. Determine the specific topological structure of the feedforward neural network, the population size, maximum generations and other parameters of GA.

**Step 2:** *Create the network.* Use the most common GA, which is binary encoding method. In the coding process, the weights of the connection between the input layer and the hidden layer, the threshold of the hidden layer, the weights of the connection between the hidden layer and the output layer and the threshold of the output layer are coded in order to form the individuals of the population. The binary string length is set as \( P \), and the calculation formula is as follows, where \( m, n \), and \( l \) are the node number of the hidden layer, input layer, and output layer, respectively.

\[
P = nm + m + ml + l
\]  

**Step 3:** *Select fitness function.* This function represents the degree to which an individual approaches the optimal solution in the optimization process, and the greater the fitness, the better the individual. The goal of optimizing the feedforward neural network is to make the prediction accuracy as high as possible. Therefore, the inverse of the error between the predicted value and the expected value is selected as the fitness function, and the calculation formula is as follows:

\[
f_k = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{t} |x_k - \hat{x}_k|}
\]  

where \( f_k \) is the fitness of an individual \( X_k \); \( t \) is the number of traffic flow data; \( x_k \) is the expected value, and \( \hat{x}_k \) is the predicted value.

**Step 4:** *Selection.* Choose the next generation of individuals according to the survival of the fittest. In other words, the next generation of individuals are selected according to their fitness in the selection. This paper selects the operator according to roulette. Roulette is also known as proportional selection, where the fitness of an individual is proportional to the chance of being selected. The calculation formula of probability \( p_k \) of individuals \( X_k \) being selected, is as follows, where \( d \) is the number of individuals.

\[
p_k = \frac{f_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{d} f_k}
\]  

**Step 5:** *Crossover operation.* In accordance with the crossover probability, randomly select positions for exchange between two individuals used for reproduction. The crossover operation reflects a random exchange of information aimed at generating new gene combinations. In this paper, a simple and feasible single-point crossover operator is selected to randomly set an intersection point in an individual string for crossover. The crossover mode of the first \( k \) gene \( A_k \) and the first \( l \) gene \( A_j \) at the first \( j \) position is as follows, where the value \( b \) is randomly taken between \([0,1]\).

\[
A_{ij} = A_{bk} (1 - b) + A_{bj} b
\]  

\[
A_{ij} = A_{bk} (1 - b) + A_{bj} b
\]  

**Step 6:** *Mutation operation.* According to the number of mutation genes produced by the mutation probability, the mutation genes are selected by a
random method. The mutation mode of the first \( j \) gene of the first \( i \) individual is as follows: where, \( A_{\text{max}} \) and \( A_{\text{min}} \) are the upper and lower bounds of the value of gene \( A_j \); \( r \) is randomly evaluated in the interval of \([0,1]\); \( r' \) is the random number; \( g \) is the current iteration number; \( G_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum evolutionary algebra.

\[
A_i = \begin{cases} 
A_j + (A_{\text{max}} - A_j) f(g), & r \geq 0.5 \\
A_j + (A_{\text{min}} - A_j) f(g), & r < 0.5 
\end{cases}
\]  

(7)

\[
f(g) = r \left(1 - \frac{g}{G_{\text{max}}} \right) \]  

(8)

Step 7: Assignment. The optimal solution obtained by GA is considered as the initial weight and threshold of the network.

3. ES PREDICTION MODEL

ES is the most commonly used time series prediction method based on the moving average method. It roughly smooths the time series according to its historical changes, and the future trend of the time series is analysed accordingly. The two most important steps of this method are the selection of smoothing the initial value and smoothing the parameter value. However, people choose the smoothing parameter value mainly depending on experience; and once the smoothing parameter value is determined, it cannot change with the actual trend of the time series, which causes the model not to reflect the time series in real and dynamic time.

According to the number of smoothing times, three types are classified as: ES, secondary ES, and triple ES. Under normal circumstances, when the time series has no obvious trend, ES is selected; when the time series shows a linear trend, secondary ES is used; when the time series exhibits a non-linear trend, triple smoothing is selected. In practical applications, the trial algorithm can be selected, that is to say, according to the size of prediction error of different smoothing times, the smoothing times of corresponding time series can be determined.

Set the observation value of time series as \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_t \) and the ES formula as

\[
S_t^{(1)} = \alpha y_t + (1 - \alpha) S_{t-1}^{(1)}
\]  

(9)

\[
y_{t+1} = S_t^{(1)}
\]  

(10)

where \( S_t^{(1)} \) is the ES value of the first \( t \) period; \( \alpha \) is the smoothing coefficient, \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). The ES value of the first \( t \) period is the predicted value of the first \( t+1 \) period of the time series.

Initial ES value determination

There are three main methods to determine the initial ES value \( S_0^{(1)} \): expert estimation method, the first three average methods, and the first term method.

In general, when the amount of data \( n > 15 \), the initial value has little effect on the predicted value, and the initial value is set as the first observation data \( y_1 \). When \( n \leq 15 \), calculate the average of the first three observation data and set it as the initial value.

Selection of the smoothing parameter values

The smoothing parameter value \( \alpha \) reflects the proportion of historical data in the ES value in different periods, that is to say, the ES method assigns weight to the historical observation value according to the influence of historical observation value on the predicted value. The closer the observation time of historical data to the prediction time, the greater the weight; otherwise, the less it weighs. Therefore, the accuracy of ES model is largely determined by the smoothing parameters. Generally, the selection of \( \alpha \) relies on experience. When the long-term trend of time series changes slowly, \( \alpha \) is selected between 0.1 and 0.4. When the long-term trend of time series is relatively stable, the range is 0.4-0.6. When the time series presents an obvious seasonal variation trend, \( \alpha \) is selected between 0.6-0.9. In this paper, by calculating the Sum of Squares for Error (SSE) of the predicted value and expected value, the \( \alpha \) which minimizes SSE is selected as a smoothing coefficient, and the calculation formula is as follows:

\[
\min \text{SSE} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \hat{x}_k)^2
\]  

(11)

4. COMBINED PREDICTION MODEL

The combined prediction model can make up for the deficiency of single model, integrate the advantages of various models, and improve the performance of prediction. According to the functional relationship between single models and the combined model, the combination method can be divided into linear combination and non-linear combination. Linear combination is a common combination prediction method with low complexity and simple calculation. The linear combination prediction model is expressed as follows;

\[
Y = \omega_1 y_1 + \omega_2 y_2
\]  

(12)

\[
\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 1
\]  

(13)
where \( Y \) is the final predicted value; \( \omega_1 \) is the weight coefficient of the GANN model; \( \omega_2 \) is the weight coefficient of the ES model; \( y_1 \) is the predicted value of the GANN model; and \( y_2 \) is the predicted value of the ES model.

The key factors that affect the prediction performance of linear combined model are to select the appropriate single prediction model and then determine the optimal weight coefficient of each model. The GANN model has good non-linear fitting ability and high prediction accuracy for the traffic flow with large fluctuation [48-52], while ES model has a good linear fitting ability, and it has high accuracy in predicting smooth traffic flow. Therefore, this paper establishes a combined model based on these two models (hereinafter referred to as "combined model"), which can adapt to different traffic conditions and improve the accuracy of short-term traffic flow prediction. The weight coefficient is divided into fixed weight coefficient and time-varying weight coefficient. The time-varying weight coefficient can be dynamically adjusted to adapt to the changes of the predicted traffic flow. In this paper, the weight of the prediction results of the single model in the first \( T \) period in the combined model was determined according to the prediction root mean square error of each single model in the previous \( T-1 \) period, and then the weighted summation of the prediction results of all single models was used as the predicted value of the combined model in the first \( T \) period. The calculation formula of the weight coefficient \( \omega_{i,T} \) of the \( i \)-th single model in period \( T \) is shown in Equation 14, where \( e_{i,T} \) is the mean square error of the prediction of the first \( i \) single model in period \( t \). Therefore, the calculation formula of predicted value \( Y_T \) during period \( T \) is shown in Equation 15. The combined model of short-term traffic flow prediction model based on GANN and ES is shown in Figure 2.

\[
\omega_{i,T} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} e_{i,t}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{T} e_{i,t}}
\]

(14)
5. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

This paper analyzed the measured traffic flow data of Shuangta Road, Xuancheng City, Anhui Province on December 14 and 15 (Wednesday and Thursday), 2016. Shuangta Road is an east-west main road in the city. The area around Shuangta Road is mainly residential area, so there is a large amount of commuting traffic on weekdays. Shuangta Road undertakes the important task of traffic transportation. The intersection of Shuangta Road and Zhaotong Middle Road is an important main road intersection in Xuancheng City. The traffic condition of this intersection is representative to some extent. Therefore, the traffic flow data of the west entrance section of the intersection is studied. The dataset consists of traffic flow observation data and acquisition time of the data. The sensor obtained the traffic flow observation data every five minutes, with a total of 576 data points. According to previous studies, the people’s regular travel schedule leads to a certain periodicity of the traffic flow [53]. When the time period is divided into workdays, weekends and holidays, it can be found that the traffic flow presents different degrees of similarity. In case that the city layout and the scale of the road network do not change, the changes of traffic flow characteristics of a certain road are periodic. Therefore, the 576 data collected in this paper can be used as the representative of the study on traffic flow characteristics on workdays. The predicted section location is shown in Figure 3. The data collection station was set at the intersection of Shuangta Road and Zhaotong Middle Road, which is marked with a triangle in Figure 3.

The above four models are respectively used to predict and compare the traffic flow data of the same section. MAE can reflect well the error of predicted value; RMSE can evaluate the adaptability of data and prediction model; MAPE can reflect the overall accuracy of the model. They are the commonly used indices to compare the prediction accuracy of the models, and can objectively and accurately compare the prediction effect of each model [54, 55]. Therefore, the experimental error was evaluated by MAE, MSE, RMSE and MAPE. The formula is as follows:

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k - \bar{x}_k| \tag{16}
\]

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \bar{x}_k)^2 \tag{17}
\]

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \bar{x}_k)^2} \tag{18}
\]

\[
MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_k - \bar{x}_k}{\bar{x}_k} \right| \tag{19}
\]

where \(n\) is the number of traffic flow data; \(x_k\) is the expected value; \(\bar{x}_k\) is the predicted value.

5.1 GANN prediction

Seventy percent of the 576 sample data points are selected as training data and 30% as test data. In order to limit the input and output data to a certain range and improve the speed of network training, normalization processing should be carried out before inputting data. The transformation formula is as follows:

\[
x' = \frac{x}{x_{\text{max}}} \tag{20}
\]

where \(x_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum value of the observation data; \(\bar{x}_k\) is the value before the transformation; and \(x'\) is the value after the transformation. After obtaining the network output, the output should be inversely normalized. The input node number is 11 and the output node number is 1 by the method described above. Therefore, the format of the input data of GANN model is a matrix of eleven rows and one column, which contains the normalized value of eleven consecutive traffic flow data, and the output data is the traffic flow at the next time point in the interval \([0,1]\), which needs to be reversely normalized. The number of hidden layer nodes is determined in [4,14] by Equation 1, and the final number of hidden layer nodes is determined from the error average of the results of ten runs. Table 1 is the comparison of
the operation results of GANN model with different number of hidden layer nodes. According to Table 1, the prediction accuracy decreases firstly and then increases with the increase of the number of nodes in the hidden layer, and reaches the optimal prediction accuracy when the number of nodes is 14. In order to verify whether 14 is the best number of the hidden layer nodes, we also calculated the prediction accuracy of 16 and 18 nodes. The results show that when the number of hidden layer nodes continues to increase from 14, the prediction accuracy decreases instead of rising, presumably because the network will be overfitting when the number of hidden layer nodes is too large. Therefore, the final number of hidden layer nodes was selected as 14. The transfer function of the hidden layer was S type tangent function $\text{tansig}()$. The transfer function of the output layer was selected by comparing the commonly used transfer functions of the feedforward neural network. Table 2 lists the mean MSE of the GANN model with different transfer functions in the output layer of 1,000 iterations. It can be seen from Table 2 that when the output layer adopted the S type logarithmic function $\text{logsig}()$, the value of MSE was the smallest. Therefore, the transfer function of the output layer was S type logarithmic function $\text{logsig}()$. $\text{Trainlm}()$ function was selected as the training function, and Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was used to train the network. The parameters of the neural network are set as follows: the number of iterations is 1,000, the training target is 0.01, and the learning rate is 0.1. When the population is initialized, the size is 40, the number of generations is 50, the crossover probability is 0.7, and the mutation probability is 0.01.

5.2 ES model prediction

The ES of time series is determined by the trial algorithm. Since there are more than 15 observation values, the first observation value is taken as $S_0^{(1)}$. By using the method of sweeping traversal search, the objective function was set as SSE of the expected value and the predicted value, and the smoothing parameter $\alpha$ of minimum SSE was found to be 0.57. According to experience, the smoothing parameter of time series $\alpha$ with a stable long-term trend was between 0.4 and 0.6, so the smoothing parameter was within a reasonable range. In order to further verify that 0.57 is the optimal value, $\alpha$ of 0.37, 0.47, 0.67 and 0.77 are respectively used for prediction. The comparison of prediction errors is shown in Table 3. According to Table 3, the values of MSE, MAE, MAPE and RMSE all reach the minimum when $\alpha$ is 0.57, and prove to be the optimal prediction result when they are 0.57.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>0.37</th>
<th>0.47</th>
<th>0.57</th>
<th>0.67</th>
<th>0.77</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>271.2236</td>
<td>259.2276</td>
<td>256.3527</td>
<td>259.0256</td>
<td>265.6644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>11.6732</td>
<td>11.3835</td>
<td>11.3173</td>
<td>11.4093</td>
<td>11.5803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPE</td>
<td>0.1894</td>
<td>0.1865</td>
<td>0.1863</td>
<td>0.1879</td>
<td>0.1902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 Prediction results

The predicted results of the combined model are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The contrast diagram of predicted results of different models is shown in Figure 6. The error indices of predicted results of each model are shown in Table 4.

The average relative error of the feedforward neural network model is 0.1312, and the average relative error of GANN model is 0.1112, indicating that the prediction accuracy of GANN model has been significantly improved. The average relative error of the ES model is 0.1197, and the average relative error of the combined model is 0.1106, so the combined model has higher accuracy. In order to more intuitively analyse the trend of prediction results and real data, smooth de-noising is carried out for the results of the above four models and real observation data. Seen from Figure 6, each model prediction results and the real observation data trend are roughly the same. In case of small fluctuations in traffic flow, the combined model is better, and the degree of fitting of GANN model is better in the traffic flow decline section, indicating that the prediction result of the combined model is not always optimal, but overall the combined model has higher prediction accuracy and more stable performance.

It can be seen from Table 4 that the four models above can reflect better the changes of traffic flow, and the values of MAE are within 15 veh/5min, which meets the accuracy requirements of general short-term traffic flow prediction. The value of MAE of the combined model is 10.7093 veh/5min, which is lower than the other three single models. As can be seen from the RMSE error index, the error distribution of prediction results of the four models is not much different, and all of them are

![Figure 4](image_url)  
*Figure 4 – The traffic flow prediction generated from the combined method*

![Figure 5](image_url)  
*Figure 5 – Relative error of the combined method*
In addition, as shown in Table 6, when the training sample proportion gradually decreases, MSE, MAE and MAPE gradually increase, while RMSE almost remains unchanged, indicating that the error distribution is relatively stable. In addition, the smaller the training samples are, the more the prediction accuracy of GANN model is improved than that of the feedforward neural network model. It indicates that GANN model is less restricted by the limitation of the number of training samples; in other words, it can still mine the characteristics of time series changes when the sample size decreases, which helps to maintain the accuracy of prediction. This is of significance to the small sample prediction of short-term traffic flow.

Table 6 – Comparison of the error of different step size of the combined model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step size [min]</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>213.9513</td>
<td>241.2847</td>
<td>298.2636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>10.7093</td>
<td>13.9872</td>
<td>17.2836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPE</td>
<td>0.1810</td>
<td>0.2173</td>
<td>0.2347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>14.6271</td>
<td>15.5333</td>
<td>17.2703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 6 – Evaluation of prediction with different training sample proportion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training sample proportion [%]</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedforward neural network</td>
<td>278.8479</td>
<td>283.8554</td>
<td>286.4706</td>
<td>287.0957</td>
<td>287.8540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANN</td>
<td>231.3306</td>
<td>233.8953</td>
<td>237.1431</td>
<td>237.9743</td>
<td>238.5935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined model</td>
<td>213.9513</td>
<td>222.5670</td>
<td>228.9027</td>
<td>229.9231</td>
<td>231.8462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANN</td>
<td>11.0104</td>
<td>11.4199</td>
<td>11.8909</td>
<td>11.8929</td>
<td>11.9971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined model</td>
<td>10.7093</td>
<td>10.7293</td>
<td>10.7983</td>
<td>10.8967</td>
<td>11.1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAPE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedforward neural network</td>
<td>0.2356</td>
<td>0.2438</td>
<td>0.2900</td>
<td>0.2992</td>
<td>0.3289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANN</td>
<td>0.1971</td>
<td>0.2031</td>
<td>0.2183</td>
<td>0.2233</td>
<td>0.2385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined model</td>
<td>0.1810</td>
<td>0.1902</td>
<td>0.2011</td>
<td>0.2057</td>
<td>0.2168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANN</td>
<td>15.2096</td>
<td>15.2936</td>
<td>15.3995</td>
<td>15.4264</td>
<td>15.4465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined model</td>
<td>14.6271</td>
<td>14.9187</td>
<td>15.1295</td>
<td>15.1632</td>
<td>15.2265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, artificial neural network and ES, which are widely applied in the field of prediction, are combined to make use of their advantages in predicting non-linear data and linear data, respectively and improve the accuracy of prediction. To further improve the prediction performance of the feedforward neural network, this paper uses the strong metaheuristic optimal search ability of GA to optimize the weights and thresholds of the neural network, avoids the disadvantages brought by random assignment, and improves the prediction accuracy and convergence speed of the model. To fully integrate the advantages of each single model, two single models are integrated by setting time-varying weight coefficient which dynamically changes when predicting error. The empirical analysis shows that the prediction accuracy of the combined model established in this paper is 7.8% and 16.8%, higher than that of the GANN model and the ES model, respectively. The fitting degree is higher, which can track the linear and non-linear change trend of traffic flow more sensitively. The model is effective and suitable for short-term traffic flow prediction.

One weakness in this research is that other factors affecting traffic flow, such as major holidays, weather conditions, etc., are not involved. In the next step, these factors will be taken into account to obtain more comprehensive traffic flow prediction data.
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基于遗传神经网络和指数平滑算法的短时交通流预测

摘要：
为了提高短时交通流预测精度，本文采用遗传算法和指数平滑算法提出混合算法。通过利用遗传算法的元启发式最优搜索，采用反馈式神经网络的反向传播对连接权值和阈值进行优化，以避免神经网络陷入局部最优，因此提出遗传神经网络的预测模型和指数平滑预测模型。为充分利用两模型的优点，混合模型由权值平均值构成，而混合模型权值根据单个模型的预测均方差确定。实验路段采用的是安徽宣城每5分钟交通流观测值。外，反馈式神经网络模型，遗传神经网络，指数平滑模型和混合模型进行了对比，结果显示优化的反馈式神经网络预测精度远高于优化前，混合模型的预测性能提高了。
预测精度高于两个单一模型，验证了混合模型的可行性和有效性。
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短时交通流预测; 遗传神经网络; 指数平滑; 混合模型
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