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# ANALYSIS OF VEHICLE ARRIVALS AT A SELECTED INTERSECTION IN THE CITY OF ZAGREB 


#### Abstract

SUMMARY The paper analyses the statistics of vehicle arrival at a selected intersection in the city of Zagreb. The intersection has all the elements of movement: going straight, left turns and right turns. The statistic analysis in this work is based on the measurements carried out by means of a device for gathering information on traffic, HI-STAR Model NC-90A.

We have shown that four days (Monday - Thursday) have the same statistical regularity. Two intervals have been isolated in which normal distribution can be accepted: one from 6 a.m. to 12 noon and another from 1 p.m. to 4 a.m. The period from 6 a.m. to 12 noon has Gauss distribution with the expected peak traffic density at $\mu=9.9$ o'clock (i.e. at six minutes to ten o'clock) and standard deviation $\sigma=3.1$. The periods from 1 p.m. to 4 a.m. have Gauss distribution with the expected peak traffic density at $\mu=15.6$ o'clock (i.e. at twenty-four minutes to six p.m.) and standard deviation $\sigma=4.2$. The results can be used for traffic regulation at that intersection i.e. for the traffic signal control of the intersection.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the recent decades, mathematical methods and procedures have occupied a special place in planning and organisation of urban traffic. Statistics, as a scientific discipline plays an important role in traffic. Special attention is paid to gathering data and there are devices that gather the necessary data automatically, as well as software packages that provide instantaneously the basic statistics of the gathered data. In order to reach certain regularities, a more complex statistical apparatus and the intuition of the researcher are needed.

Today, due to a huge number of vehicles in urban centres, traffic often gets congested, especially during rush-hours when the number of vehicles may increase several times compared to some calmer parts of the day. Although the increase in traffic density may be predicted based on experience, it depends first of all on the urban centre: whether the centre of the town or
the suburbs are considered, whether the main or secondary roads are considered, whether these refer to routes towards major facilities such as hospitals, shopping centres, production organisations, schools, faculties, etc. This paper deals with the analysis of traffic density at an intersection involving traffic towards a hospital, an area including business and office centres, and a link with the centre of the town and the business administrative centres. The observed intersection itself is a specific point in traffic. The data of vehicle arrivals at several intersections in the city centre of Zagreb have been analysed and it has been concluded that every intersection needs to be analysed separately due to its specific features.

One of the reasons for analysing the vehicle arrival at a certain intersection is the optimisation of the traffic signal operation at the intersection. The aim is to avoid unnecessary waiting in case of few vehicles from a certain direction. The vehicles are counted according to a certain classification and the data are then statistically processed, so as to increase the throughput capacity of a certain intersection.

In order to use the quantitative methods for traffic control in urban centres, it is necessary to gather first a sufficient amount of quality data.

## 2. GATHERING DATA AND THE CLASSIFICATION

The statistical analysis carried out in this paper is based on the measurements obtained by a device for gathering traffic data, HI-STAR Model NC-90A. The device is installed on the surface (road) and records and stores data on vehicles passing over it.

The device can measure:

- vehicle length

Vehicles are categorised in groups:

1. vehicle length up to 4.6 m
2. vehicle length from 4.6 to 5.2 m
3. vehicle length from 5.2 to 7.3 m
4. vehicle length from 7.3 to 9.1 m
5. vehicle length from 9.1 do 11.3 m
6. vehicle length from 11.3 do 12.5 m
7. vehicle length from 12.5 do 18.6 m
8. vehicle length from 18.6 do 30.2 m
9. vehicle length over 30.2 m

- vehicle speed (expressed in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ )
- inter vehicle distance


Figure 1 - Intersection of Petrova and Bukovačka Streets

- temperature of the surface $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, information whether the road is wet or dry...
Based on the gathered data which are recorded every hour, the device can make a simple statistical analysis of the measured direction, such as:
- total and average number of vehicles per hour
- total number of vehicles during the day
- average time of vehicle arrival at the intersection (in seconds)
- surface temperature range etc.

Measurements carried out in this paper have been done at the intersection of the Petrova and Bukovačka Street in the period from $20^{\text {th }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}$ October 1996 (for a week).

The basic statistical analysis has shown that a total of 43,222 vehicles passed through the given location during measurements, at an average speed of 30.44 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. The average vehicle arrival time to the intersection was 6.75 seconds, and the road surface temperature varied from 4 to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data on vehicle count classified according to their length d are presented in Figure 2.

Segments of Figure 2 are given in Figures 2a to 2h.
Figure 3 shows the results obtained on Monday, October 21, 1996, and similar data would be obtained for the rest of the week-days, as can be seen in Figure 2. It is obvious that the number of vehicles of over 4.6 m in length is negligible, i.e. it does not cause any significant change in the traffic density. Therefore, only


Figure 2 - Vehicle arrival to the intersection on the days of measurements, classified according to the vehicle length


Figure $\mathbf{2 a}$ - Vehicles up to 4.6 m


Figure 2c - Vehicle length -5.2 to 7.3 m


Figure 2 e - Vehicle length - 9.1 to 11.3 m


Figure 2 b - Vehicle length -4.6 to 5.2 m


Figure 2d - Vehicle length - 7.3 to 9.1 m

Figure 2 f - Vehicle length - 11.3 to 12.5 m


Figure 2 g - Vehicle length - 12.5 to 18.6 m


Figure 2 h - Vehicle length over 18.6 m


Figure 3 - Number of vehicles arriving at the intersection (data for October 21, 1996), Every layer presents a vehicle category depending on the vehicle length


Figure 3 a - Vehicle length up to 4.6 m


Figure 3 b - Vehicle length 4.6 to 5.2 m


Figure 3 c - Vehicle length 5.2 to 7.3 m


Figure 3 e - Vehicle length 9.1 to 11.3 m


Figure 3 g - Vehicle length 12.5 to 18.6 m
the vehicles shorter than 4.6 m can be considered as the density indicators. The paper will further deal only with this vehicle category. The data graphically presented in Figure 2, referring to the vehicle category of up to 4.6 m (passenger cars) are presented in Table 1. These data will be processed numerically.

Using statistical analysis we will try to determine the behaviour. Starting from the assumption that the obtained curves have a certain similarity to the Gauss' distribution, the upper curve is logically divided into 7 separate ones, according to the days. In dividing the curve we shall not consider a strict classification per


Figure 3d - Vehicle length 7.3 to 9.1 m


Figure 3 - Vehicle length 11.3 to 12.5 m


Figure 3 h - Vehicle length over 18.6 m
days, i.e. it will not be the data for the interval from 0 to 23 (time including the data of one day) that will be taken into consideration, but instead, the data from 5 a.m. (of the designated day) to $4 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. (of the following day) will be considered. The reason for this is obvious. When looking at the graph or the input data according to which this is precisely the time of traffic calm, i.e. this is where the graph in Figure 2 shows the minimums.

The measurement starts and ends on Sunday, at 2 p.m. and by joining the ends and beginnings, the graph will result in an interval corresponding to Sunday.

Table 1 - Data on vehicle arrivals at the intersection during the measured period for vehicles of up to 4.6 m .

| hour | Ord. No. | Mon. | Tue. | Wed. | Thu. | Fri. | Sat. | Sun. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 31 | 28 |
| 6 | 2 | 135 | 136 | 160 | 140 | 144 | 71 | 15 |
| 7 | 3 | 237 | 232 | 225 | 232 | 235 | 128 | 38 |
| 8 | 4 | 285 | 304 | 336 | 330 | 273 | 215 | 77 |
| 9 | 5 | 344 | 322 | 342 | 333 | 323 | 220 | 119 |
| 10 | 6 | 330 | 300 | 335 | 312 | 298 | 274 | 202 |
| 11 | 7 | 328 | 318 | 302 | 326 | 298 | 316 | 318 |
| 12 | 8 | 297 | 283 | 323 | 284 | 328 | 294 | 276 |
| 13 | 9 | 312 | 323 | 289 | 298 | 319 | 291 | 284 |
| 14 | 10 | 294 | 327 | 289 | 316 | 308 | 277 | 230 |
| 15 | 11 | 361 | 356 | 399 | 395 | 378 | 291 | 248 |
| 16 | 12 | 376 | 361 | 382 | 390 | 351 | 286 | 256 |
| 17 | 13 | 334 | 374 | 347 | 338 | 334 | 267 | 239 |
| 18 | 14 | 286 | 290 | 300 | 306 | 288 | 247 | 258 |
| 19 | 15 | 284 | 271 | 265 | 304 | 275 | 225 | 243 |
| 20 | 16 | 232 | 212 | 218 | 232 | 220 | 200 | 202 |
| 21 | 17 | 137 | 177 | 137 | 174 | 150 | 129 | 150 |
| 22 | 18 | 118 | 120 | 138 | 138 | 150 | 93 | 122 |
| 23 | 19 | 70 | 81 | 87 | 83 | 94 | 120 | 65 |
| 0 | 20 | 42 | 57 | 43 | 39 | 60 | 70 | 41 |
| 1 | 21 | 12 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 45 | 58 | 22 |
| 2 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 34 | 51 | 14 |
| 3 | 23 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 23 | 34 | 8 |
| 4 | 24 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 31 | 26 |



Figure 4 - Vehicle arrivals at the intersection per days

If the graphs indicating the vehicle arrivals at the intersection per week-days are overlapped (Figure 4), it can be noticed that the behaviour during the day is quite regular. Somewhat greater deviations may be noticed at the end of the week, on Saturday and Sunday.

In order to analyse the extent to which it may be claimed that the behaviour of vehicle arrival at the intersection depending on the time in the day is equal, $\chi^{2}$-test will be used.

The starting assumption is that the frequencies pattern does not deviate much from their average. Therefore, instead of using theoretical frequencies in the standard $\chi^{2}$-test, the average of frequencies of the currently considered days will be introduced.

The hypothesis about equal distributions will be tested for each day separately, having the data for 24 hours, which means that the degrees of freedom is 24 $1=23$, with significance level of $5 \%$. Apart from these
characteristics, the limit is 35.17 (Supplement), which means that if the value $\chi^{2}$ is assigned greater than that number, the hypothesis is rejected, otherwise it can be accepted.

Value $\chi^{2}$ is calculated in the following way:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{23} \frac{\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

where:
$i$ - is the ordinal number of the hour
$f_{i}$ - vehicle count measured at the i-th hour (of a
particular day)
$\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - average number of vehicles at the $i$-th hour.
Let us consider first the results obtained for the whole week (Table 2). The values of fp are calculated as the average of all the seven days. As seen in the Table, all the values $\chi^{2}$ are greater than the limit, and the hypothesis about the uniform vehicle arrival to the intersection during all the days in the week has to be re-

Table 2- $\chi^{2}$-test for testing equal distributions of frequencies during all the seven days.

| hour | fp | $\chi^{2}$ (Mon) | $\chi^{2}$ (Tue) | $\chi^{2}$ (Wed) | $\chi^{2}$ (Thu) | $\chi^{2}$ (Fri) | $\chi^{2}$ (Sat) | $\chi^{2}$ (Sun) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 23 | 0.391304 | 2.130435 | 0.695652 | 0.043478 | 0.173913 | 2.782609 | 1.086957 |
| 6 | 114.4286 | 3.698234 | 4.066524 | 18.14892 | 5.714464 | 7.642055 | 16.48225 | 86.39486 |
| 7 | 189.5714 | 11.86608 | 9.496071 | 6.621165 | 9.496071 | 10.88642 | 19.99795 | 121.1886 |
| 8 | 260 | 2.403846 | 7.446154 | 22.21538 | 18.84615 | 0.65 | 7.788462 | 128.8038 |
| 9 | 286.1429 | 11.69852 | 4.493331 | 10.90372 | 7.673062 | 4.74745 | 15.28914 | 97.63212 |
| 10 | 293 | 4.672355 | 0.167235 | 6.020478 | 1.232082 | 0.085324 | 1.232082 | 28.2628 |
| 11 | 315.1429 | 0.524543 | 0.025903 | 0.548116 | 0.374045 | 0.932522 | 0.002331 | 0.025903 |
| 12 | 297.8571 | 0.002467 | 0.741076 | 2.122371 | 0.644673 | 3.050428 | 0.049949 | 1.603905 |
| 13 | 302.2857 | 0.312179 | 1.419457 | 0.583918 | 0.060762 | 0.924183 | 0.421348 | 1.10613 |
| 14 | 291.5714 | 0.020228 | 4.304893 | 0.022678 | 2.046686 | 0.925667 | 0.728214 | 13.0021 |
| 15 | 346.8571 | 0.576665 | 0.240998 | 7.838609 | 6.682102 | 2.796187 | 8.995116 | 28.1751 |
| 16 | 343.1429 | 3.146188 | 0.929285 | 4.400143 | 6.398477 | 0.17991 | 9.51588 | 22.13037 |
| 17 | 319 | 0.705329 | 9.482759 | 2.45768 | 1.131661 | 0.705329 | 8.476489 | 20.0627 |
| 18 | 282.1429 | 0.052731 | 0.218807 | 1.130199 | 2.017288 | 0.121591 | 4.377288 | 2.065895 |
| 19 | 266.7143 | 1.120285 | 0.068865 | 0.011018 | 5.212411 | 0.257403 | 6.524141 | 2.108501 |
| 20 | 216.5714 | 1.099133 | 0.096495 | 0.009423 | 1.099133 | 0.054278 | 1.267998 | 0.9804 |
| 21 | 150.5714 | 1.223231 | 4.638791 | 1.223231 | 3.645432 | 0.002169 | 3.090404 | 0.002169 |
| 22 | 125.5714 | 0.456525 | 0.247196 | 1.230132 | 1.230132 | 4.752316 | 8.448562 | 0.101576 |
| 23 | 85.71429 | 2.880952 | 0.259286 | 0.019286 | 0.085952 | 0.800952 | 13.71429 | 5.005952 |
| 0 | 50.28571 | 1.36526 | 0.89651 | 1.055601 | 2.532873 | 1.876623 | 7.728896 | 1.714692 |
| 1 | 30.85714 | 11.52381 | 1.111772 | 0.26455 | 0.76455 | 6.482143 | 23.87566 | 2.542328 |
| 2 | 19.42857 | 10.71534 | 9.281513 | 1.009454 | 3.656513 | 10.92857 | 51.30357 | 1.516807 |
| 3 | 14 | 3.5 | 2.571429 | 2.571429 | 1.142857 | 5.785714 | 28.57143 | 2.571429 |
| 4 | 13.71429 | 6.880952 | 5.537202 | 1.620536 | 0.537202 | 1.005952 | 21.7872 | 11.00595 |
| $\chi^{2}$ |  | 80.83616 | 69.87199 | 92.72369 | 82.26806 | 65.76711 | 262.4513 | 579.0911 |

Table 3 - $\chi^{2}$-test for testing equal distributions of frequencies during the five work-days.

| hour | fp | $\chi^{2}$ (Mon) | $\chi^{2}$ (Tue) | $\chi^{2}$ (Wed) | $\chi^{2}$ (Thu) | $\chi^{2}$ (Fri) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 20.4 | 0.007843 | 0.94902 | 0.096078 | 0.12549 | 1.037255 |
| 6 | 143 | 0.447552 | 0.342657 | 2.020979 | 0.062937 | 0.006993 |
| 7 | 232.2 | 0.099225 | 0.000172 | 0.223256 | 0.000172 | 0.033764 |
| 8 | 305.6 | 1.388613 | 0.008377 | 3.024084 | 1.948168 | 3.477618 |
| 9 | 332.8 | 0.376923 | 0.350481 | 0.254327 | 0.00012 | 0.288582 |
| 10 | 315 | 0.714286 | 0.714286 | 1.269841 | 0.028571 | 0.91746 |
| 11 | 314.4 | 0.588295 | 0.041221 | 0.489059 | 0.42799 | 0.855471 |
| 12 | 303 | 0.118812 | 1.320132 | 1.320132 | 1.191419 | 2.062706 |
| 13 | 308.2 | 0.046853 | 0.710707 | 1.196106 | 0.337573 | 0.378456 |
| 14 | 306.8 | 0.534029 | 1.329987 | 1.032725 | 0.27588 | 0.004694 |
| 15 | 377.8 | 0.747062 | 1.257914 | 1.189624 | 0.78306 | 0.000106 |
| 16 | 372 | 0.043011 | 0.325269 | 0.268817 | 0.870968 | 1.185484 |
| 17 | 345.4 | 0.376259 | 2.368153 | 0.007412 | 0.158541 | 0.376259 |
| 18 | 294 | 0.217687 | 0.054422 | 0.122449 | 0.489796 | 0.122449 |
| 19 | 279.8 | 0.063045 | 0.276769 | 0.782845 | 2.093066 | 0.082345 |
| 20 | 222.8 | 0.379892 | 0.523519 | 0.103411 | 0.379892 | 0.035189 |
| 21 | 155 | 2.090323 | 3.122581 | 2.090323 | 2.329032 | 0.16129 |
| 22 | 132.8 | 1.649398 | 1.233735 | 0.203614 | 0.203614 | 2.227711 |
| 23 | 83 | 2.036145 | 0.048193 | 0.192771 | 0 | 1.457831 |
| 0 | 48.2 | 0.79751 | 1.606639 | 0.560996 | 1.756017 | 2.888797 |
| 1 | 27.2 | 8.494118 | 0.177941 | 0.023529 | 0.052941 | 11.64853 |
| 2 | 14.2 | 5.960563 | 4.735211 | 0.04507 | 0.721127 | 27.60845 |
| 3 | 11.2 | 1.575 | 0.914286 | 0.914286 | 0.128571 | 12.43214 |
| 4 | 7.8 | 1.851282 | 1.005128 | 0.184615 | 1.312821 | 0.620513 |
| $\chi^{2}$ |  | 30.60372 | 23.4168 | 17.61635 | 15.67777 | 69.91009 |

jected. The greatest deviation is for the values obtained for Saturday and Sunday, which could already have been expected judging from the graphs.

If only the data obtained for the work-days (Monday - Friday) are considered, the results are obtained which are presented in Table 3. The values fp are now average frequencies of the observed five days.

Table 3 shows that the hypothesis of equal traffic density has to be rejected for Friday, whereas it can be accepted for other days. Here, the average frequency of five days has been taken as the theoretical frequency, so that another test will be made in order to compare only the first four days in the week (Table 4).

Results in Table 4 show that the hypothesis of uniform traffic density during the four "real" work-days can be accepted. The week-end has to be analysed separately, since it brings certain specific traffic flows. Friday is often said to be the beginning of the week-
end, which is reflected in the traffic as well (often due to leaving work earlier, departures from the city in the afternoon hours...). On Saturday and Sunday there is usually "calming down" in traffic, i.e. the traffic density in the city is reduced.

## 4. DISTRIBUTION OF VEHICLE ARRIVALS FROM MONDAY TO THURSDAY

We will focus our attention now to the first four work-days to try to determine what type of movement is involved. After having determined that the deviation of frequencies from their average is small, only the average will be considered (fp from Table 4) which is presented in Figure 5.

Let us take a look at the graph. There is a continuous increase in the traffic density from the early morn-

Table 4- $\chi^{2}$-test for testing equal distributions of frequencies during the first four work-days.

| hour | fp | $\chi^{2}$ (Mon) | $\chi^{2}$ (Tue) | $\chi^{2}$ (Wed) | $\chi^{2}$ (Thu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 19.25 | 0.029221 | 0.548701 | 0.003247 | 0.392857 |
| 6 | 142.75 | 0.420753 | 0.319177 | 2.084501 | 0.052977 |
| 7 | 231.5 | 0.13067 | 0.00108 | 0.182505 | 0.00108 |
| 8 | 313.75 | 2.634462 | 0.302988 | 1.577888 | 0.841633 |
| 9 | 335.25 | 0.228374 | 0.523676 | 0.135906 | 0.015101 |
| 10 | 319.25 | 0.361981 | 1.160728 | 0.777016 | 0.164644 |
| 11 | 318.5 | 0.283359 | 0.000785 | 0.854788 | 0.176609 |
| 12 | 296.75 | 0.000211 | 0.63711 | 2.32203 | 0.54781 |
| 13 | 305.5 | 0.138298 | 1.002455 | 0.891162 | 0.184124 |
| 14 | 306.5 | 0.509788 | 1.371126 | 0.999184 | 0.294454 |
| 15 | 377.75 | 0.74272 | 1.252316 | 1.1954 | 0.787723 |
| 16 | 377.25 | 0.004142 | 0.699967 | 0.059808 | 0.430915 |
| 17 | 348.25 | 0.583094 | 1.903984 | 0.004487 | 0.301687 |
| 18 | 295.5 | 0.305415 | 0.102369 | 0.068528 | 0.373096 |
| 19 | 281 | 0.032028 | 0.355872 | 0.911032 | 1.882562 |
| 20 | 223.5 | 0.323266 | 0.591723 | 0.135347 | 0.323266 |
| 21 | 156.25 | 2.3716 | 2.7556 | 2.3716 | 2.0164 |
| 22 | 128.5 | 0.857977 | 0.562257 | 0.702335 | 0.702335 |
| 23 | 80.25 | 1.30919 | 0.007009 | 0.567757 | 0.094237 |
| 0 | 45.25 | 0.233425 | 3.051105 | 0.111878 | 0.86326 |
| 1 | 22.75 | 5.07967 | 0.222527 | 1.211538 | 0.464286 |
| 2 | 9.25 | 1.952703 | 1.141892 | 3.574324 | 0.331081 |
| 3 | 8.25 | 0.189394 | 0.007576 | 0.007576 | 0.371212 |
| 4 | 7.25 | 1.456897 | 0.698276 | 0.422414 | 1.939655 |
| $\chi^{2}$ |  | 20.17864 | 19.2203 | 21.17225 | 13.553 |

ing hours till noon, with the peak density around 10 o'clock. Then follows a slight decrease in the number of vehicles and the next rush-hour is then between 3 p.m. and 4 p.m. Towards the end of the day, the
number of vehicles at the intersection is decreasing almost continuously.

The sections of the obtained curve can be compared to the Gauss' curve i.e. to its sections. A some-


Figure 5 - Average frequencies of vehicle arrival at the intersection during the first four days (Monday - Thursday)
what modified $\chi^{2}$-test is performed again, to show that the traffic density at the considered intersection is normally distributed per sections. The comparison with a section of the Gauss' curve will be made in such a way that the calculated theoretical probabilities are multiplied by factor $C=\Sigma \mathrm{fp} / \Sigma$ p, which would correspond to the number of total data if we had a complete Gauss' curve. By such multiplication, the total number of data matches the sum obtained in empirical frequencies.

Two intervals have been isolated and they can show the normal distribution of data: 6 a.m. -12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 a.m.

The following symbols are used in tables:
fp - average frequency;
p - theoretical probability of vehicle arrival to the intersection calculated for every hour of the given interval with the selected expectation and standard deviation
ft - theoretical frequency, and
$\chi^{2}$ - (chi-square), the deviation measure from the normal distribution.
The values in the table are calculated in the following way out of the known data (hour, i.e. its ordinal number $b$ giving us a continuous series of the basic argument and the empirical average frequencies):

$$
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~b})=\operatorname{NORMALDIS}(\mathrm{b} . \mu . \sigma . \text { false })
$$

where NORMALDIS function of the computer program Excel which gives the value of probability of argument b in normal distribution with expectation $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$. The fourth argument (false) is the value of the logical variable which determines the sense of the function NORMALDIS as a probability function. With the other option of this value (true), the value of the distribution function of the normal distribution would be obtained with appropriate other parameters.

$$
\mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{~b})=\frac{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~b})^{*} \mathrm{~N}}{\text { psum }}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{N}=\sum \mathrm{fp}(\mathrm{~b})
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{psum}=\sum \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~b})
$$

where the sums have been taken from all the data that are currently being processed.

Dividing by the value psum limits our distribution to a section of the Gauss' curve, i.e. it gives the values $f$ theoretical frequencies that represent our overall data (per sections) normally distributed.

Finally, $\chi^{2}$ is calculated in a standard way:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(\mathrm{fp}(\mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{~b}))^{2}}{\mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{~b})}
$$

and the table is checked (supplement) to see whether to reject or accept the hypothesis that our curve is normally distributed per sections.

The values of expectation and standard deviations could not be determined by standard numerical methods since the involved data do not cover the whole area of the Gauss' curve. Therefore, they were determined in such a way that the minimum value $\chi^{2}$ was sought for the possible range of values for the expectation and standard deviation. The increment in the change of studied values both for $\mu$ and for $\sigma$ was 0.1 .

The program used for this calculation has been written using the software package Mathematica.

Input data are the following:
sat1 - the starting hour of the currently observed interval and
fp - list of average frequency values for the considered interval

## Program:

```
himin = 500;
n = Length[fp];
b = Table[i. {i. n}];
ukupno = Apply[Plus. fp];
For [mi = 1.mi <= n. mi = mi +0.1.
    For[sd=1. sd <= n. sd = sd + 0.1.
        pfun[x_] := PDE[NormalDistribu-
tion[mi. sd]. x];
        p=Map[pfun. b]; psum := Apply[Plus.
p];
        ftfun[x_] := x*ukupno/psum;
        ft = Map[ftfun. p];
        For[hisum = 0; i = 1. i <= n. i++.
        hi = (fp[[i]] - ft[[i]])^2/ft[[i]];
hisum += hi];
        If[hisum himin.
        himin = hisum; mimin = mi; sdmin =
sd]]];
    mimin = mimin + sat1 - 1;
Print[{mimin. sdmin. himin}]
```

Output values are:
mimin - expectation for which the minimum value of $\chi^{2}$-test is obtained;
sdmin - standard deviation for the minimum value of $\chi^{2}$-test, and
himin - the minimum value of $\chi^{2}$-testa obtained by the program.
Since the curve has two stressed peaks, it will be divided into two sections and our hypothesis will be tested. The division is made during the noon uniform traffic, e.g. at 12 noon. Thus, two intervals are obtained: the first one from 5 a.m. to 12 noon and the second one from 1 p.m. to 4 a.m. (the following day).

Let us analyse the first interval.
Table 5 - $\chi^{2}$-test for testing of the partial normal distribution of frequencies from $5 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 12 noon.

| hour | Ord. <br> No. (b) | fp | p | ft | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 19.25 | 0.028468 | 69.35733 | 36.20014 |
| 6 | 2 | 142.75 | 0.052058 | 126.8288 | 1.998626 |
| 7 | 3 | 231.5 | 0.082992 | 202.1946 | 4.247416 |
| 8 | 4 | 313.75 | 0.115349 | 281.0265 | 3.810422 |
| 9 | 5 | 335.25 | 0.139772 | 340.5264 | 0.081758 |
| 10 | 6 | 319.25 | 0.147655 | 359.7331 | 4.555831 |
| 11 | 7 | 318.5 | 0.135989 | 331.3111 | 0.495381 |
| 12 | 8 | 296.75 | 0.109191 | 266.022 | 3.549358 |
| $\Sigma$ | 1977 | 0.811474 | 1977 | 54.93893 |  |

The results presented in Table 5 are the best approximation of the data to the normal distribution. It has been obtained for the expectation $\mu=9.9$ and standard deviation $\sigma=2.7$ (Program). However, since for 5 degrees of freedom, the limit for rejecting the hypothesis with $5 \%$ of significance amounts to 11.07, whereas the obtained value for $\chi^{2}$ equals 54.93893 , it is obvious that we can reject the hypothesis. Therefore, the behaviour of the curve in the interval 5-12 does not correspond to the normal distribution.

Looking at the results, it is easy to note that the maximum deviation is at the margin, i.e. for the data that refer to 5 o'clock. By neglecting these data, we shall try to determine whether the rest of the "morning" curve has the characteristic of normal distribution.

Table 6- $\chi^{2}$-test for testing of the partial normal distribution of frequencies from $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 12 noon

| hour | Ord. <br> No. (b) | fp | p | ft | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1 | 142.75 | 0.058326 | 157.89 | 1.45177 |
| 7 | 2 | 231.5 | 0.083084 | 224.9092 | 0.193138 |
| 8 | 3 | 313.75 | 0.106654 | 288.714 | 2.171008 |
| 9 | 4 | 335.25 | 0.12338 | 333.9924 | 0.004735 |
| 10 | 5 | 319.25 | 0.128624 | 348.1876 | 2.404988 |
| 11 | 6 | 318.5 | 0.120839 | 327.1133 | 0.226798 |
| 12 | 7 | 296.75 | 0.102306 | 276.9434 | 1.416533 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  | 1957.75 |
|  | 0.723213 | 1957.75 | 7.86897 |  |  |

The elimination of marginal values has given good results. The Gauss' curve that is best adapted to the values obtained in the interval 6-12 a.m. has the parameters $\mu=9.9$ and standard deviation $\sigma=3.1$. The
obtained value $\chi^{2}$ amounts to 7.86897 , whereas the limit for 4 degrees of freedom and the level of significance $5 \%$ equals 9.489. Thus, the hypothesis about the normal distribution in this interval will be accepted.

Let us consider the rest of the day, the interval from 1 p.m. until 4 a.m. of the following day.
Table 7 - $\chi^{2}$-test for testing of the partial normal distribution of frequencies from 1 p.m. to 4 a.m.

| hour | Ord. <br> No. (b) | fp | p | ft | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 | 305.5 | 0.078423 | 303.0855 | 0.019235 |
| 14 | 2 | 306.5 | 0.088338 | 341.4026 | 3.568201 |
| 15 | 3 | 377.75 | 0.094022 | 363.3697 | 0.569098 |
| 16 | 4 | 377.25 | 0.094556 | 365.4355 | 0.381964 |
| 17 | 5 | 348.25 | 0.089853 | 347.2584 | 0.002831 |
| 18 | 6 | 295.5 | 0.080678 | 311.7992 | 0.85204 |
| 19 | 7 | 281 | 0.068448 | 264.5315 | 1.025248 |
| 20 | 8 | 223.5 | 0.054871 | 121.0606 | 0.617089 |
| 21 | 9 | 156.25 | 0.041563 | 160.6285 | 0.119352 |
| 22 | 10 | 128.5 | 0.029747 | 114.9649 | 1.593513 |
| 23 | 11 | 80.25 | 0.020117 | 77.74783 | 0.080528 |
| 0 | 12 | 45.25 | 0.012855 | 49.68111 | 0.395216 |
| 1 | 13 | 22.75 | 0.007762 | 29.99677 | 1.750711 |
| 2 | 14 | 9.25 | 0.004428 | 17.11346 | 3.613179 |
| 3 | 15 | 8.25 | 0.002387 | 9.225315 | 0.103112 |
| 4 | 16 | 7.25 | 0.001216 | 4.698993 | 1.3849 |
|  | 2973 | 0.769264 | 2973 | 16.07622 |  |

In the interval from 3 p.m. until 4 a.m. of the following day, the curve of empirical frequencies corresponds to the section of the Gauss' curve with the ex-


Figure 6 - The curves of empirical frequencies and a section of Gauss' curve used for comparison. The data for the interval from 6 to 12 o'clock


Figure 7 - The curves of empirical frequencies and a section of the Gauss' curve used for comparison. The data for the interval from 1 p.m. to 4 a.m. (the following morning)
pectation of the peak traffic density in $\mu=15.6$ o'clock and standard deviation $\sigma=4.2$. For this interval of 16 hours, there are 13 degrees of freedom, and with $5 \%$ of significance, 22.36 appears as the limit value. Test in Table 7 has yielded a lower value (16.07622) which means that we can accept the assumption about the partial normal distribution.

The accepted empirical and theoretical frequencies is given in Figures 6 and 7.

## 5. COMPARISON TESTS

Let us now try to analyse some other intersections.

## Example 1

The following data have been obtained for the intersection Ilica - Vrapčanska Street - Bolonja.

This intersection is somewhat more complex than the intersection of Petrova and Bukovačka Street, with a greater number of lanes. Measurements that


Figure 8 - llica - Vrapčanska Street -

- Bolonja intersection
are used have been carried out on October 1 and 2, 1997. Although the amount of available data is not the same, we will try to compare the available sample obtained on work-days (Wednesday and Thursday) with the average of work-days (Monday - Thursday) from the first part. The daily number of vehicles in the observed direction is approximately the same as the traffic in the considered direction at the intersection of Petrova and Bukovačka Streets. In this case, the data about the vehicle categories is lacking, and all the vehicles up to 18 metres long have been included. This should not present any major problem, since, as already seen in the initial example, the number of longer vehicles is negligible within the overall number of the counted vehicles.

The traffic density in the considered period is presented in Figure 9.


Figure 9 - Vehicle length up to 16 m at the intersection of llica - Vrapčanska Street - Bolonja

A certain regularity in behaviour can be noted in the Figure. Table 8 offers also the numerical confirmation of the uniform daily flow of vehicles with the previous acceptable interval from 5 a.m. to 4 a.m. of the following day.

The obtained results in Table 8 show that the hypothesis about uniform distribution of frequencies over the observed two days may be accepted, since the obtained value of the $\chi^{2}$-test is 23.354169 , and with $5 \%$

Table 8 - Data about the vehicle arrival at intersection during the measured time and the corresponding $\chi^{2}$-test (Ilica - Vrapčanska Street - Bolonja intersection)

| hour | Ord. <br> No. (b) | Wed. | Thu. | fp | $\chi^{2}$ (Wed.) | $\chi^{2}$ (Thu.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 12.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 6 | 6 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 7 | 184 | 188 | 186 | 0.0215054 | 0.0215054 |
| 8 | 8 | 245 | 209 | 227 | 1.4273128 | 1.4273128 |
| 9 | 9 | 316 | 329 | 322.5 | 0.1310078 | 0.1310078 |
| 10 | 10 | 361 | 331 | 346 | 0.650289 | 0.650289 |
| 11 | 11 | 376 | 318 | 347 | 2.4236311 | 2.4236311 |
| 12 | 12 | 411 | 388 | 399.5 | 0.3310388 | 0.3310388 |
| 13 | 13 | 437 | 372 | 404.5 | 2.6112485 | 2.6112485 |
| 14 | 14 | 434 | 400 | 417 | 0.6930456 | 0.6930456 |
| 15 | 15 | 517 | 446 | 481.5 | 2.6173416 | 2.6173416 |
| 16 | 16 | 511 | 500 | 505.5 | 0.0598417 | 0.0598417 |
| 17 | 17 | 505 | 494 | 499.5 | 0.0605606 | 0.0605606 |
| 18 | 18 | 489 | 492 | 490.5 | 0.0045872 | 0.0045872 |
| 19 | 19 | 420 | 394 | 407 | 0.4152334 | 0.4152334 |
| 20 | 20 | 408 | 413 | 410.5 | 0.0152253 | 0.0152253 |
| 21 | 21 | 314 | 346 | 330 | 0.7757576 | 0.7757576 |
| 22 | 22 | 140 | 203 | 171.5 | 5.7857143 | 5.7857143 |
| 23 | 23 | 172 | 158 | 165 | 0.2969697 | 0.2969697 |
| 0 | 24 | 97 | 128 | 112.5 | 2.1355556 | 2.1355556 |
| 1 | 25 | 46 | 56 | 51 | 0.4901961 | 0.4901961 |
| 2 | 26 | 28 | 23 | 25.5 | 0.245098 | 0.245098 |
| 3 | 27 | 18 | 11 | 14.5 | 0.8448276 | 0.8448276 |
| 4 | 28 | 14 | 8 | 11 | 0.8181818 | 0.8181818 |
|  |  | 5 |  | 6415.5 | 23.354169 | 23.354169 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

of significance the hypothesis is not rejected if the obtained value is less than 35.17.

The question now is about the kind of behaviour this involves. The curve in Figure 9 has only 1, instead of 2 peak maximums. The analysis can be made whether these frequencies are normally distributed. By running the program in Mathematica, with the input list fp which contains the whole series of obtained average frequencies, the following output data are obtained:

$$
\text { himin }=218.65 ; \operatorname{mimin}=15.1 ; \quad \operatorname{sdmin}=5 .
$$

This minimum value of the $\chi^{2}$-test (himin) is too big and the hypothesis about the normal distribution of frequencies cannot be accepted. (The enclosed Table shows that the limit value for rejecting the hypothesis with $5 \%$ significance and 21 degrees of free-
dom equals 32.671 ). Therefore, the hypothesis is rejected.

It can be concluded that regular behaviour is present in the considered example, but it cannot be described by the Gauss' curve (or its sections).

## Example 2

The following data have been obtained at the intersection of Ilica - Vrapčanska Street - Bolonja, as in the first example, but in the different direction. The measurements have been performed at the same time.

The traffic density in the considered period is presented in Figure 10.

The Figure indicates that there is a similarity in behaviour. Table 9 offers also the numerical confirmation of the uniform daily movement of frequencies in

Table 9: Data about the vehicle arrival at the intersection during the measured time and the related $\chi^{2}$-test (Ilica - Vrapčanska Street - Bolonja intersection)

| hour | Ord. <br> No. (b) | Wed. | Thu. | fp | $\chi^{2}$ (Wed.) | $\chi^{2}$ (Thu.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 11.5 | 0.5434783 | 0.5434783 |
| 6 | 2 | 68 | 65 | 66.5 | 0.0338346 | 0.0338346 |
| 7 | 3 | 208 | 201 | 204.5 | 0.0599022 | 0.0599022 |
| 8 | 4 | 281 | 311 | 296 | 0.7601351 | 0.7601351 |
| 9 | 5 | 222 | 205 | 213.5 | 0.3384075 | 0.3384075 |
| 10 | 6 | 189 | 171 | 180 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| 11 | 7 | 178 | 170 | 174 | 0.091954 | 0.091954 |
| 12 | 8 | 201 | 167 | 184 | 1.5706522 | 1.5706522 |
| 13 | 9 | 166 | 213 | 189.5 | 2.914248 | 2.914248 |
| 14 | 10 | 175 | 204 | 189.5 | 1.1094987 | 1.1094987 |
| 15 | 11 | 188 | 195 | 191.5 | 0.0639687 | 0.0639687 |
| 16 | 12 | 200 | 173 | 186.5 | 0.9772118 | 0.9772118 |
| 17 | 13 | 166 | 200 | 183 | 1.579235 | 1.579235 |
| 18 | 14 | 185 | 183 | 184 | 0.0054348 | 0.0054348 |
| 19 | 15 | 209 | 252 | 230.5 | 2.005423 | 2.005423 |
| 20 | 16 | 194 | 193 | 193.5 | 0.001292 | 0.001292 |
| 21 | 17 | 121 | 161 | 141 | 2.8368794 | 2.8368794 |
| 22 | 18 | 70 | 110 | 90 | 4.4444444 | 4.4444444 |
| 23 | 19 | 93 | 65 | 79 | 2.4810127 | 2.4810127 |
| 0 | 20 | 45 | 39 | 42 | 0.2142857 | 0.2142857 |
| 1 | 21 | 21 | 14 | 17.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 2 | 22 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 23 | 8 | 5 | 6.5 | 0.3461538 | 0.3461538 |
| 4 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  | 3264.5 | 23.627452 | 23.627452 |

the interval from 5 a.m. until 4 a.m. of the following day.

The obtained results in Table 9 show that the hypothesis about the uniform distribution of frequencies


Figure 10 - Vehicle length of up to 16 m at the intersection llica - Vrapčanska Street - Bolonja
during the considered two days can be accepted, since the obtained value of $\chi^{2}$-test is 23.627452 , and with $5 \%$ significance the hypothesis is not rejected if the obtained value is less than 35.17 .

The question is now what kind of behaviour is involved. The curve in Figure 10 has the form that does not correspond to the Gauss' curve nor its sections. If, however, we try to relate the curve sections to the normal distribution, we obtain the following results:
for the input list fp which contains average frequencies (per time units that have been studied in the main example - intersection of Petrova and Bukovačka Streets):

- from 5 to 12 noon:
himin $=129.5323 ; \operatorname{mimin}=9.2 ; \operatorname{sdmin}=2.2$
- from 6 to 12 noon:
himin $=97.10212 ; \operatorname{mimin}=9.1 ; \operatorname{sdmin}=2.6$.
- from 1 p.m. to 04 a.m.:

$$
\operatorname{himin}=55.58876 ; \operatorname{mimin}=16.7 ; \quad \text { sdmin }=4.1
$$

These minimal values of the $\chi^{2}$-testa (himin) are greater than the limit values for the appropriate degrees of freedom. Therefore, the hypothesis about the normal distribution is rejected.

It can be concluded that the considered example indicates regular behaviour, but it cannot be described by the Gauss' curve (nor its sections).

## Example 3

The intersection of Gundulićeva and Varšavska Streets has been taken for comparison.

The total volume of daily traffic at this intersection is approximately equal to the intersection of Petrova and Bukovačka Streets. In the measured direction, during the 7 days at the intersection of Petrova and


Figure 11 - Gundulićeva and Varšavska Street intersection

Table 10 - Data about the vehicle arrival at the intersection during the measured period and the related $\chi^{2}$-test (Gundulićeva and Varšavska Street intersection)

| hour | Ord. <br> No. (b) | Wed. | Thu. | fp | $\chi^{2}$ (Wed.) | $\chi^{2}$ (Thu.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 40 | 42 | 41 | 0.02439 | 0.02439 |
| 6 | 2 | 163 | 140 | 151.5 | 0.872937 | 0.872937 |
| 7 | 3 | 281 | 297 | 289 | 0.221453 | 0.221453 |
| 8 | 4 | 356 | 203 | 279.5 | 20.93828 | 20.93828 |
| 9 | 5 | 272 | 136 | 204 | 22.66667 | 22.66667 |
| 10 | 6 | 397 | 172 | 284.5 | 44.48594 | 44.48594 |
| 11 | 7 | 217 | 117 | 167 | 14.97006 | 14.97006 |
| 12 | 8 | 179 | 210 | 194.5 | 1.235219 | 1.235219 |
| 13 | 9 | 94 | 311 | 202.5 | 58.13457 | 58.13457 |
| 14 | 10 | 160 | 295 | 227.5 | 20.02747 | 20.02747 |
| 15 | 11 | 235 | 243 | 239 | 0.066946 | 0.066946 |
| 16 | 12 | 100 | 215 | 157.5 | 20.99206 | 20.99206 |
| 17 | 13 | 346 | 170 | 258 | 30.0155 | 30.0155 |
| 18 | 14 | 323 | 322 | 322.5 | 0.000775 | 0.000775 |
| 19 | 15 | 307 | 377 | 342 | 3.581871 | 3.581871 |
| 20 | 16 | 348 | 144 | 246 | 42.29268 | 42.29268 |
| 21 | 17 | 298 | 196 | 247 | 10.53036 | 10.53036 |
| 22 | 18 | 242 | 266 | 254 | 0.566929 | 0.566929 |
| 23 | 19 | 162 | 229 | 195.5 | 5.740409 | 5.740409 |
| 0 | 20 | 106 | 126 | 116 | 0.862069 | 0.862069 |
| 1 | 21 | 68 | 56 | 62 | 0.580645 | 0.580645 |
| 2 | 22 | 36 | 23 | 29.5 | 1.432203 | 1.432203 |
| 3 | 23 | 30 | 13 | 21.5 | 3.360465 | 3.360465 |
| 4 | 24 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  | 4544.5 | 303.5999 | 303.5999 |

Bukovačka Street, 43,222 vehicles were recorded, as mentioned at the beginning. This is an average of 6,175 vehicles a day. At this intersection, during a two-day measurement, 13,622 vehicles were recorded, which means approximately 6,811 daily. The measurement was carried out on November 20 and 21, 1996 (a month following the measurements at Petrova and Bukovačka Street intersection). Let us limit our studies to passenger vehicles up to 4.6 metres, since the number of the rest is negligible. Their frequencies have been presented in Figure 12.


Figure 12 - Vehicle length up to 4.6 m at the Gundulićeva and Varšavska Street intersection

Figure 12 shows that there is quite an oscillation in traffic. Since this is an intersection in the very centre of the city, the traffic throughput is to a great extent determined by the current traffic density in the surrounding area. The falls in the curve do not have to mean reduced number of vehicles, but a traffic congestion in the direction of the vehicle flow.

Table 10 contains the data presented in the Figure. They will be divided into intervals from 5 a.m. to 4 a.m. (the following day). The initial data for Wednesday ( 0 - 4 a.m.) will be used as the final ones for Thursday.

Table 10, which contains also the results of the test about the uniform traffic distribution per days, shows that there is no regularity in behaviour which could describe the daily traffic at the considered intersection. The values of $\chi^{2}$ - test are far from acceptable. (For 23 degrees of freedom and the level of significance of $5 \%$, the values should be below 35.17 in order not to reject the hypothesis about accordance with the average.)

There is no sense here in comparing the curves with the Gauss' curve.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The presented examples show that an intersection can but needn't show regularity in behaviour regarding the number of vehicles that pass through it during a certain part of day.

The regularity in behaviour of the Gauss' type, has been determined for one intersection. In order to use the obtained results for the traffic analysis at some other intersection, the similarities and differences of the particular intersections should be taken into consideration first. This includes the form of the intersection (number of traffic lanes, the allowed directions of traffic flows), its location (city centre, suburb, vicinity of a major facility...), the organisation of the intersection (whether it is controlled by traffic lights or not, whether there are pedestrian crossings or underpasses...) etc.

The aim of such analyses is to determine the traffic flows individually or in groups (in case of similar intersections), in a wider urban area, in order to reach the optimum in intersection throughput capacities, thus avoiding saturation and waiting. When traffic congestion occurs repeatedly at the same locations, the solution needs to be sought in changing the traffic light operation regime, and in re-directing the traffic to the often less loaded secondary routes.

Further work regarding this problem will continue in two directions: the selection of quantitative indicators for determining the similarity of two intersections and the gathering of data at these selected intersections with the aim of proving the obtained results.

## SAŽETAK

## ANALIZA DOLASKA VOZILA NA ODABRANO RASKRIŽJE U GRADU ZAGREBU

Analiziramo statistiku dolaska vozila na odabrano raskrižje ugradu Zagrebu. Raskrižje ima sve elemente kretanja: ravno, skretanje lijevo i skretanje desno. Statistička analiza u ovom radu temelji se na mjerenjima provedenim pomoću uredaja za prikupljanje podataka o prometu HI-STAR Model NC-90A.

Pokazali smo da četiri dana (ponedjeljak - četvrtak) imaju istu statističku zakonitost. Izdvojena su dva intervala u kojima se može prihvatiti normalna distribuiranost i to: 6-12 sati i 1304 sata. Interval 6-12 sati ima Gaussovu distribuciju s očekivanjem najveće gustoće prometa $u=9,9$ sati ( $t j$. 9 sati i 54 minute) i standardnom devijacijom $=3$,1. Interval 13 -04 sata ima Gaussovu distribuciju s očekivanjem najveće gustoće prometa $u=15,6$ sati ( 15 sati $i 36$ minuta) i standardnom devijacijom $=4,2$. Rezultati se mogu koristiti za reguliranje prometa na tom raskrižju odnosno za semaforizaciju raskrižja.
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## SUPPLEMENT

| degrees of freedom | limit values for $\chi^{2}$ with $5 \%$ significance |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.84 |
| 2 | 5.99 |
| 3 | 7.82 |
| 4 | 9.49 |
| 5 | 11.07 |
| 6 | 12.59 |
| 7 | 14.07 |
| 8 | 15.51 |
| 9 | 16.92 |
| 10 | 18.31 |
| 11 | 19.68 |
| 12 | 21.03 |
| 13 | 22.36 |
| 14 | 23.69 |
| 15 | 25.00 |
| 16 | 26.30 |
| 17 | 27.59 |
| 18 | 28.87 |
| 19 | 30.14 |
| 20 | 31.41 |
| 21 | 32.67 |
| 22 | 33.92 |
| 23 | 35.17 |
| 24 | 36.42 |
| 25 | 37.65 |
| 26 | 38.89 |
| 27 | 40.11 |
| 28 | 41.34 |
| 29 | 42.56 |
| 30 | 43.77 |

